



# **Developing Performance Metrics for Drug Enforcement**

---

**Evaluating the Efficacy of the Multijurisdictional Task  
Forces Using a Tiered and Priority Scoring System**

---

**Fiscal Year 2014 through Fiscal Year 2018**

**January 2019**

**Michigan State Police**  
**Grants and Community Services Division**

**In cooperation with:**

**Michigan State Justice Statistics Center**

The School of Criminal Justice at Michigan State University, through the Michigan Justice Statistics Center, serves as the Statistical Analysis Center (MI-SAC) for the State of Michigan. The mission of the MI-SAC is to advance knowledge about crime and justice issues in the State of Michigan while also informing policy and practice. The MI-SAC works in partnership with the Michigan State Police (MSP), Michigan State's Administering Agency, as well as with law enforcement and criminal justice agencies serving the citizens of Michigan.

For further information, see: <https://cj.msu.edu/programs/mi-sac/>

**Grant Information**

This project was supported by grants 2012-DJ-BX-0109, 2013-MU-BX-0051, 2014-MU-BX-1022, 2015-MU-BX-0964 and 2016-MU-BX-0703 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, United States (U.S.) Department of Justice (DOJ), and administered by the MSP. Points of view or opinions contained within this document do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the DOJ or the MSP.

**About the Authors**

Megan Gilliam is an M.S. candidate in the School of Criminal Justice at Michigan State University. She is a research assistant for the School of Criminal Justice, which includes working on various projects at the Michigan State Police Headquarters. She received her B.A. in English and Sociology with a Criminology concentration in 2018 from Valparaiso University.

Edmund McGarrell is a Professor in the School of Criminal Justice at Michigan State University. He is the director of the Michigan Justice Statistics Center that serves as the Statistical Analysis Center for the State of Michigan. His research focuses on communities and crime with a specific focus on violence prevention and control. Recent articles appear in the following journals: *Crime and Delinquency*, *Criminology and Public Policy*, *Journal of Criminal Justice*, and *Journal of Experimental Criminology*.

## Executive Summary

This report observes a total of 24 Multijurisdictional Task Forces (MJTFs) to present and evaluate drug-related arrests made by each MJTF from fiscal year (FY) 2014 through FY 2018. The drug categories included in this report are heroin, prescription opiates, methamphetamine, cocaine, marijuana, prescription stimulants, prescription depressants, and synthetic drugs. As is discussed in detail in the Overview section of this report, the Michigan State Police (MSP) places a high priority on combatting drugs that have the potential to cause the most harm to individuals and the public. Therefore, the drug categories trafficking tiers are ranked by numeric priority values that allow researchers to transform raw arrest numbers into arrest scores. These arrest scores are generally prioritized over raw arrest numbers because they reflect the MJTFs' focus on potentially more harmful drugs. (See Table 1 for the priority scoring guide used by researchers to calculate these arrest scores).

This summary contains arrest data for each reported drug category in order to provide an overview of the MJTFs' impact on drug-related crime in FY 2018. Because of the importance placed on arrest score data rather than raw arrest numbers, the following highlights contain only arrest score data. The drug categories are arranged below from highest priority value to lowest priority value.

- Heroin: The total heroin arrest score decreased from 14,364 in FY 2017 to 11,850 in FY 2018, resulting in a 17.5% decrease.
- Prescription opiates: The total prescription opiates arrest score decreased from 14,238 in FY 2017 to 7,266 in FY 2018, resulting in a 48.97% decrease.
- Methamphetamine: The total methamphetamine arrest score increased from 8,268 in FY 2017 to 12,909 in FY 2018, resulting in a 56.13% increase.
- Cocaine: The total cocaine arrest score increased from 10,785 in FY 2017 to 17,601 in FY 2018. This is the highest total arrest score of the drug categories in FY 2018 and the highest percent increase at 63.2%.
- Marijuana: The total marijuana arrest score decreased from 8,694 in FY 2017 to 6,224 in FY 2018, resulting in a 28.41% decrease.
- Prescription stimulants: The total arrest score for prescription stimulants decreased from 515 in FY 2017 to 270 in FY 2018, resulting in a 47.57% decrease.
- Prescription depressants: The total arrest score for prescription depressants increased from 390 in FY 2017 to 424 in FY 2018, resulting in an 8.72% increase.
- Synthetic drugs: The total arrest score for synthetic drugs increased from 124 in FY 2017 to 153 in FY 2018, resulting in a 23.39% increase.

More information about these statistics can be found in Table 4 and Figure 1 of this report.

## Overview

### *Introduction and Purpose of the FY 2014 through FY 2017 Study*

MSP recognizes the role illegal drug production, abuse, and trafficking plays in violent and property crime, accidental deaths, and drugged driving. Consequently, MSP has placed an emphasis on developing a statewide drug enforcement strategy that includes new metrics used to measure enforcement activities and track progress toward reducing the level of illegal drug production, abuse, and trafficking, and the public safety and health problems associated with illegal drugs. A key component of MSP's drug enforcement strategy is a series of MJTFs that focus on drug-related crimes within specific regions of the state. Each MJTF investigates drug crimes within their jurisdiction, comprised of one or more counties. MJTFs' operations are based on the principles of bringing additional resources from multiple agencies, improving coordination and communication across agencies, and being able to follow illegal drug activities across jurisdictional boundaries.

As previously stated, MSP's strategic plan has prioritized developing meaningful performance metrics for MJTFs. As a result, a core element of the performance measures is the prioritization of harm associated with illegal substances, and this is reflected in the current arrest scoring system. Prior to the implementation of the new arrest scoring system, the previous scoring system was based on a level system that categorized offenders and drug quantities ranging from Level I (least harm) to Level III (most harm). (The previous scoring table can be found in Appendix B.) In 2014, the new tiered scoring system with redefined categories was employed, accompanied by a scoring guide to transform raw arrest numbers into arrest scores. The scoring guide was created based on the amount of harm caused by a drug. Each drug category is assigned a priority value, and each trafficker tier is assigned a point value based on the corresponding priority. This new system allows high priority drugs and higher tier arrests to be given more value than low priority drugs and lower tier arrests. (Refer to Appendix A for drug trafficking tier definitions.) This scoring guide, shown and explained below, is used to measure MJTF performance based on the calculated arrest scores.

The first edition of this report evaluated FY 2014 and FY 2015 and was published in May 2016 by Amanda Nguyen, M.S., and Edmund McGarrell, Ph.D. The report proved to be an efficient way of displaying the data collected from the MJTFs; thus, it was decided the report would be updated annually to include MJTF data from the most current fiscal years. Notably, two of the MJTFs (MANTIS and MNET) are non-grant funded. Meaning, they are not required to submit data to MSP, and they did not begin submitting data until FY 2016. Therefore, no data is presented for these MJTFs in the current report for FY 2014 or FY 2015.

### *Priority Scoring Guide*

Table 1 below shows the priority scoring table used to transform raw arrest numbers into arrest scores based on the type of drug and tier. The general purpose of this scoring system is to place a higher priority and value on arrests made for drugs that are particularly harmful to individuals and the public. For example, a single tier one arrest for a high-priority drug, such as heroin, yields a higher arrest score than five tier one arrests for a low-priority drug, such as

marijuana. This scoring system reflects the strategic emphasis MSP has placed on public health and safety, rather than an emphasis on high arrest numbers alone. Note that this report also prioritizes arrest score data over raw arrest numbers. Therefore, raw arrest numbers are omitted frequently, although not entirely, throughout the report.

Table 1: Priority Scoring Table

MJTF Arrest Scoring Grid		Trafficker Tier and Value			
		1	2	3	4
Drug Category	Priority Value	1	5	25	50
Heroin	6x	6	30	150	300
Prescription Opiates	6x	6	30	150	300
Methamphetamine	3x	3	15	75	150
Cocaine	3x	3	15	75	150
Marijuana	1	1	5	25	50
Prescription Stimulants	1	1	5	25	50
Prescription Depressants	1	1	5	25	50
Synthetic Drugs	1	1	5	25	50
Other Drugs	1	1	5	25	50

In the “Priority Value” column above, heroin and prescription opiates are listed with the highest priority value (6x). Methamphetamine and cocaine are listed with the second highest priority value (3x). The remaining categories have the lowest priority value (1). Similarly, under the “Trafficker Tier and Value” section of the table, tiers increase in value depending on the level of the tier. Tier 1 has a value of 1, Tier 2 has a value of 5, Tier 3 has a value of 25, and Tier 4 has a value of 50. This was designed to yield higher arrest scores for MJTFs who make arrests for larger quantities of more harmful drugs.

The numbers listed below the tier values (i.e., 6 through 300 in the Heroin and Prescription Opiates rows) are the products of the drug priority values multiplied by the tier priority values. These are the values researchers are immediately concerned with when calculating arrest scores. For example, two Tier 1 heroin arrests translate into an arrest score of 12. This is calculated by locating the drug’s priority value (in this case, 6x) and the tier priority value (in this case, 1). The corresponding value found in the table for a Tier 1 heroin arrest is 6. The researcher then multiplies 6 by the number of arrests (in this case, 2). Thus, the arrest score is 12. Further, if an MJTF made two Tier 2 heroin arrests, the researcher would multiply 30 by 2 for an arrest score of 60.

# **Section I**

## **Overall Trends**

## **Overall Trends**

The following section outlines various trends observed in the MJTFs' data from FY 2014 through FY 2018. Total arrest scores for each MJTF are broken down by fiscal year, as well as total raw arrest numbers. The first two tables in this section also contain additional data that is helpful in comparing MJTFs, such as the number of sworn personnel per MJTF and the size of the population each serves. Also included in this section is data on total arrest scores and raw arrest numbers broken down by drug or tier, rather than by MJTF. Each table in this section contains a column that demonstrates the percent change in values from FY 2017 to FY 2018 for a relevant comparison. Raw arrest numbers are reported more frequently in this section of the report than in subsequent sections in order to illustrate the overall trends in arrests. Following this section, greater emphasis is placed on reporting arrest score data.

Table 2

<b>Total Arrest Scores, Sworn Personnel, and Population by MJTF</b>									
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>	<b>% Change FY 2017- FY 2018</b>	<b>FY 2018 # of Sworn Personnel</b>	<b>FY 2018 Score Per Officer</b>	<b>FY 2018 Population</b>
<i><b>MET</b></i>	1,694	612	908	1,746	4,401	152.06%	8	550.1	636,639
<i><b>MNET</b></i>	N/A	N/A	457	770	1,755	127.92%	5	351.0	24,462
<i><b>SWET</b></i>	2,426	3,065	2,958	3,031	6,830	125.34%	21	325.2	840,854
<i><b>RHINO</b></i>	554	1,791	1,048	461	937	103.25%	6	156.2	146,580
<i><b>MAGNET</b></i>	2,173	1,682	1,667	779	1,368	75.61%	5	273.6	110,000
<i><b>TCM</b></i>	1,191	2,301	2,822	3,255	5,179	59.11%	10	517.9	464,036
<i><b>LAWNET</b></i>	2,070	1,810	1,546	1,327	1,924	44.99%	14	137.4	545,676
<i><b>WEMET</b></i>	3,946	2,632	4,339	3,938	5,236	32.96%	27	193.9	562,483
<i><b>CMET</b></i>	1,031	1,273	2,459	2,695	3,096	14.88%	8	387.0	218,365
<i><b>MANTIS</b></i>	N/A	N/A	2,362	1,453	1,322	-9.02%	6	220.3	152,021
<i><b>JNET</b></i>	264	1,255	1,183	714	646	-9.52%	6	107.7	158,460
<i><b>HUNT</b></i>	810	1,389	2,022	1,456	1,258	-13.60%	6	209.7	63,681
<i><b>NET</b></i>	5,769	5,859	8,161	3,417	2,837	-16.97%	28	101.3	1,243,970
<i><b>FANG</b></i>	3,576	3,549	2,899	3,496	2,885	-17.48%	11	262.3	408,615
<i><b>UPSET</b></i>	1,450	3,616	3,060	4,040	3,162	-21.73%	20	158.1	311,000
<i><b>BAYANET</b></i>	2372	4149	3,698	4,144	3,159	-23.77%	19	166.3	518,500
<i><b>DRANO</b></i>	1,268	745	1,764	3,924	2,498	-36.34%	6	416.3	356,448
<i><b>TNT</b></i>	1,206	4,032	2,651	3,290	1,930	-41.34%	14	137.9	243,203
<i><b>SANE</b></i>	1,984	3,587	2,944	4,257	2,452	-42.40%	5	490.4	83,010
<i><b>STING</b></i>	643	1,235	1,131	1,520	787	-48.22%	6	131.2	107,061
<i><b>SSCENT</b></i>	686	661	1,547	1,589	763	-51.98%	7	109.0	90,772
<i><b>COMET</b></i>	2,442	1,573	740	876	386	-55.94%	7	55.1	860,112
<i><b>WWN</b></i>	3,476	4,189	3,401	2,842	1,070	-62.35%	11	97.3	1,765,000
<i><b>TNU</b></i>	759	1,192	1,132	2,463	816	-66.87%	5	163.2	219,669
<b>TOTALS</b>	41,790	52,197	56,899	57,483	56,697	9.11%	261		

Table 2 shows the total arrest scores obtained by each MJTF for FY 2014 through FY 2018. The percent change from FY 2017 to FY 2018 is also calculated, with green values representing a positive or zero percent change and red values representing a negative percent change. The MJTFs are listed from the highest percent change to the lowest percent change. As displayed above, nine MJTFs had positive percent changes in their arrest scores from FY 2017 to FY 2018. The remaining 15 MJTFs saw a negative percent change. MET had the highest percent change, increasing their total arrest score from 1,746 to 4,401; resulting in a 152.06% increase. Table 2 also displays the average number of sworn personnel and population served per MJTF. MET obtained the highest arrest score per sworn officer with a score of 550.1.

Table 3

Total Arrest Numbers, Sworn Personnel, and Population by MJTF									
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	% Change FY 2017- FY 2018	FY 2018 # of Sworn Personnel	FY 2018 Arrests Per Officer	FY 2018 Population
<i><b>RHINO</b></i>	98	85	46	32	56	75.00%	6	9.3	146,580
<i><b>MAGNET</b></i>	259	166	164	78	104	33.33%	5	20.8	110,000
<i><b>SWET</b></i>	170	134	135	155	204	31.61%	21	9.7	840,854
<i><b>MNET</b></i>	N/A	N/A	22	39	48	23.08%	5	9.6	24,462
<i><b>MET</b></i>	40	24	30	40	47	17.50%	8	5.9	636,639
<i><b>JNET</b></i>	98	78	51	34	39	14.71%	6	6.5	158,460
<i><b>MANTIS</b></i>	N/A	N/A	89	61	59	-3.28%	6	9.8	152,021
<i><b>WEMET</b></i>	351	171	275	285	271	-4.91%	27	10	562,483
<i><b>UPSET</b></i>	129	202	189	203	190	-6.40%	20	9.5	311,000
<i><b>CMET</b></i>	194	150	179	161	142	-11.80%	8	17.8	218,365
<i><b>STING</b></i>	164	83	123	80	67	-16.25%	6	11.2	107,061
<i><b>NET</b></i>	335	326	447	243	197	-18.93%	28	7	1,243,970
<i><b>SSCENT</b></i>	171	120	78	110	88	-20.00%	7	12.6	90,772
<i><b>SANE</b></i>	252	156	120	133	105	-21.05%	5	21	83,010
<i><b>BAYANET</b></i>	310	274	212	229	177	-22.71%	19	9.3	518,500
<i><b>HUNT</b></i>	61	63	107	88	66	-25.00%	6	11	63,681
<i><b>TCM</b></i>	117	116	129	125	82	-34.40%	10	8.2	464,036
<i><b>TNU</b></i>	75	87	84	117	75	-35.90%	5	15	219,669
<i><b>LAWNET</b></i>	160	143	132	86	55	-36.05%	14	3.9	545,676
<i><b>DRANO</b></i>	75	58	67	125	79	-36.80%	6	13.2	356,448
<i><b>TNT</b></i>	225	124	139	179	111	-37.99%	14	7.9	243,203
<i><b>FANG</b></i>	225	191	119	160	98	-38.75%	11	8.9	408,615
<i><b>COMET</b></i>	197	112	37	43	23	-46.51%	7	3.3	860,112
<i><b>WWN</b></i>	121	141	136	88	47	-46.59%	11	4.3	1,765,000
<b>TOTALS</b>	3,827	3,004	3,110	2,894	2,430	-11.17%	261		

Table 3 shows the total number of arrests obtained by each MJTF for FY 2014 through FY 2018. The percent change from FY 2017 to FY 2018 is also calculated, with green values representing a positive or zero percent change and red values representing a negative percent change. The MJTFs are listed from the highest percent change to the lowest percent change. As shown above, six MJTFs had positive percent changes in their number of arrests from FY 2017 to FY 2018. The remaining 18 MJTFs had negative percent changes. RHINO had the highest percent change, increasing their number of arrests from 32 to 56, resulting in a percent change of 75%. Table 3 also displays the average number of sworn personnel and population served per MJTF. MAGNET obtained the highest number of arrests per sworn officer with a total of 20.8 arrests.

Figure 1




Table 4

Percent Change in Total Arrest Scores						
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	% Change FY 2017 - FY 2018
<b>Heroin</b>	8,640	15,642	17,556	14,364	11,850	-17.50%
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	10,932	14,628	12,018	14,238	7,266	-48.97%
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	4,956	4,413	6,222	8,268	12,909	56.13%
<b>Cocaine</b>	7,260	9,273	10,110	10,785	17,601	63.20%
<b>Marijuana</b>	8,239	7,189	9,471	8,694	6,224	-28.41%
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	110	303	451	515	270	-47.57%
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	328	478	260	390	424	8.72%
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	1,325	271	240	124	153	23.39%

Figure 2




Table 5

Total Number of Arrests by Drug						
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	% Change FY 2017 - FY 2018
<b>Heroin</b>	560	542	610	570	370	-35.09%
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	431	333	282	334	204	-38.92%
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	532	411	545	528	558	5.68%
<b>Cocaine</b>	589	493	441	551	580	5.26%
<b>Marijuana</b>	1,449	1,053	1,059	675	596	-11.70%
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	54	63	90	87	67	-22.99%
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	56	61	44	46	40	-13.04%
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	156	48	39	23	15	-34.78%

Figure 3




Table 6

Total Arrest Scores by Tier						
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	% Change FY 2017 - FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	7,870	4,032	4,259	3,953	3,502	-11.41%
<b>Tier 2</b>	12,170	21,290	21,500	23,005	14,870	-35.36%
<b>Tier 3</b>	14,250	17,975	19,175	20,375	16,575	-18.65%
<b>Tier 4</b>	7,500	8,900	11,400	10,150	21,750	114.29%

Figure 4




Table 7

Total Number of Arrests by Tier						
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	% Change FY 2017 - FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	2,676	1,488	1,516	1,266	1,177	-7.03%
<b>Tier 2</b>	822	1,187	1,187	1,202	840	-30.12%
<b>Tier 3</b>	236	241	281	308	222	-27.92%
<b>Tier 4</b>	93	88	126	118	191	61.86%

# **Section II**

## **Individual Drug Categories**

## **Individual Drug Categories**

The following section details data collected by the MJTFs regarding each drug category. The drug categories included are heroin, prescription opiates, methamphetamine, cocaine, marijuana, prescription stimulants, prescription depressants, and synthetic drugs. Note the word “prescription” is replaced with the medical abbreviation “Rx” in the appropriate tables and figures throughout the report. Moreover, the category “Other Drugs” was excluded from the report in its entirety due to errors in the classifications of drugs reported as “other.” Each drug category in this section contains two tables and two graphs. The total arrest scores for each MJTF for FY 2014 through FY 2018 are listed in the first table, and the corresponding bar graph illustrates the arrest scores by MJTF for FY 2018 only. The second table in each section displays the total arrest scores by tier for FY 2014 through FY 2018, and the corresponding bar graph includes only the arrest scores by tier for FY 2018.

**Heroin: Priority Value 6x**

Table 8

<b>Total Heroin Arrest Scores by MJTF</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b><i>BAYANET</i></b>	390	936	1,056	1,284	624
<b><i>CMET</i></b>	30	174	300	126	84
<b><i>COMET</i></b>	540	780	150	144	30
<b><i>DRANO</i></b>	228	342	450	1,284	594
<b><i>FANG</i></b>	1,206	1,242	1,656	858	492
<b><i>HUNT</i></b>	348	774	1,188	360	348
<b><i>JNET</i></b>	96	300	360	282	102
<b><i>LAWNET</i></b>	408	822	642	558	1,086
<b><i>MAGNET</i></b>	120	456	126	90	108
<b><i>MANTIS</i></b>	N/A	N/A	1,122	498	144
<b><i>MET</i></b>	636	0	186	726	240
<b><i>MNET</i></b>	N/A	N/A	186	462	852
<b><i>NET</i></b>	2,052	2,622	3,150	750	702
<b><i>RHINO</i></b>	138	282	564	84	78
<b><i>SANE</i></b>	150	402	570	498	0
<b><i>SSCENT</i></b>	6	48	192	210	30
<b><i>STING</i></b>	240	84	84	60	198
<b><i>SWET</i></b>	42	720	720	588	576
<b><i>TCM</i></b>	306	1,692	726	1,626	1,680
<b><i>TNT</i></b>	258	1,782	1,626	1,410	942
<b><i>TNU</i></b>	168	30	120	78	192
<b><i>UPSET</i></b>	102	678	576	600	576
<b><i>WEMET</i></b>	336	600	1,428	1,230	2,058
<b><i>WWN</i></b>	840	876	378	558	114
<b>TOTALS</b>	8,640	15,642	17,556	14,364	11,850

Figure 5





Table 9

Total Heroin Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	2,760	1,572	1,782	1,704	1,170
<b>Tier 2</b>	2,430	7,170	7,980	7,710	4,230
<b>Tier 3</b>	2,250	5,400	6,300	3,750	3,750
<b>Tier 4</b>	1,200	1,500	1,500	1,200	2,700

Figure 6



**Rx Opiates: Priority Value 6x**

Table 10

<b>Total Rx Opiates Arrest Scores by MJTF</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<i><b>BAYANET</b></i>	288	216	432	474	42
<i><b>CMET</b></i>	18	126	972	90	294
<i><b>COMET</b></i>	876	510	216	90	48
<i><b>DRANO</b></i>	354	60	360	1,122	552
<i><b>FANG</b></i>	468	600	156	402	30
<i><b>HUNT</b></i>	294	450	552	396	438
<i><b>JNET</b></i>	0	0	96	6	6
<i><b>LAWNET</b></i>	1,074	84	276	36	126
<i><b>MAGNET</b></i>	462	396	312	324	414
<i><b>MANTIS</b></i>	N/A	N/A	276	420	660
<i><b>MET</b></i>	30	0	30	0	0
<i><b>MNET</b></i>	N/A	N/A	0	120	36
<i><b>NET</b></i>	1,530	1,488	1,290	1,014	96
<i><b>RHINO</b></i>	30	882	6	0	90
<i><b>SANE</b></i>	1,302	2,424	2,082	3,162	870
<i><b>SSCENT</b></i>	204	234	678	546	66
<i><b>STING</b></i>	210	888	654	1,350	348
<i><b>SWET</b></i>	882	786	240	180	60
<i><b>TCM</b></i>	0	30	42	6	1,350
<i><b>TNT</b></i>	222	330	0	336	6
<i><b>TNU</b></i>	126	870	516	486	60
<i><b>UPSET</b></i>	288	2,130	1,200	2,208	1,374
<i><b>WEMET</b></i>	840	252	276	300	240
<i><b>WWN</b></i>	1,434	1,872	1,356	1,170	60
<b>TOTALS</b>	10,932	14,628	12,018	14,238	7,266

Figure 7





Table 11

Total Rx Opiates Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	1,632	468	348	378	336
<b>Tier 2</b>	3,750	6,360	5,670	6,960	3,930
<b>Tier 3</b>	4,650	5,100	4,500	4,800	2,100
<b>Tier 4</b>	900	2,700	1,500	2,100	900

Figure 8



**Methamphetamine: Priority Value 3x**

Table 12

<b>Total Methamphetamine Arrest Scores by MJTF</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<i><b>BAYANET</b></i>	219	1,008	357	825	1,101
<i><b>CMET</b></i>	336	381	609	1,794	1,008
<i><b>COMET</b></i>	0	0	0	0	0
<i><b>DRANO</b></i>	108	0	3	15	0
<i><b>FANG</b></i>	93	60	138	228	537
<i><b>HUNT</b></i>	18	129	123	288	174
<i><b>JNET</b></i>	69	228	276	96	213
<i><b>LAWNET</b></i>	54	33	45	30	105
<i><b>MAGNET</b></i>	777	201	918	132	663
<i><b>MANTIS</b></i>	N/A	N/A	90	0	39
<i><b>MET</b></i>	15	18	15	174	615
<i><b>MNET</b></i>	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
<i><b>NET</b></i>	72	279	75	36	378
<i><b>RHINO</b></i>	228	45	90	81	12
<i><b>SANE</b></i>	270	105	30	21	444
<i><b>SSCENT</b></i>	207	222	219	156	303
<i><b>STING</b></i>	87	117	237	24	129
<i><b>SWET</b></i>	252	222	522	1,008	4,530
<i><b>TCM</b></i>	393	54	465	660	222
<i><b>TNT</b></i>	414	378	177	789	468
<i><b>TNU</b></i>	9	78	264	672	114
<i><b>UPSET</b></i>	201	303	555	492	948
<i><b>WEMET</b></i>	1,134	522	1,011	747	828
<i><b>WWN</b></i>	0	30	3	0	78
<b>TOTALS</b>	4,956	4,413	6,222	8,268	12,909

Figure 9





Table 13

Total Methamphetamine Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	1,041	618	822	633	804
<b>Tier 2</b>	2,490	2,895	3,750	4,110	2,955
<b>Tier 3</b>	1,425	900	1,500	2,925	4,800
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	0	150	600	4,350

Figure 10



**Cocaine: Priority Value 3x**

Table 14

<b>Total Cocaine Arrest Scores by MJTF</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b><i>BAYANET</i></b>	1,065	1,323	1,401	105	1,071
<b><i>CMET</i></b>	18	66	162	234	1,434
<b><i>COMET</i></b>	180	195	147	15	204
<b><i>DRANO</i></b>	462	198	684	288	993
<b><i>FANG</i></b>	1,092	1,425	423	303	1,521
<b><i>HUNT</i></b>	0	0	6	225	21
<b><i>JNET</i></b>	60	381	243	48	267
<b><i>LAWNET</i></b>	75	501	153	123	420
<b><i>MAGNET</i></b>	162	60	123	0	39
<b><i>MANTIS</i></b>	N/A	N/A	696	84	345
<b><i>MET</i></b>	855	573	543	90	3,219
<b><i>MNET</i></b>	N/A	N/A	210	45	804
<b><i>NET</i></b>	861	636	1,563	36	615
<b><i>RHINO</i></b>	90	453	114	84	363
<b><i>SANE</i></b>	45	3	51	0	816
<b><i>SSCENT</i></b>	39	15	234	291	198
<b><i>STING</i></b>	27	18	30	21	51
<b><i>SWET</i></b>	384	333	633	165	588
<b><i>TCM</i></b>	144	162	1,260	9	1,644
<b><i>TNT</i></b>	144	1,179	183	21	492
<b><i>TNU</i></b>	48	36	39	150	210
<b><i>UPSET</i></b>	96	354	165	66	150
<b><i>WEMET</i></b>	681	879	951	276	1,713
<b><i>WWN</i></b>	732	483	96	84	423
<b>TOTALS</b>	7,260	9,273	10,110	2,763	17,601

Figure 11





Table 15

Total Cocaine Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	1,260	648	630	198	801
<b>Tier 2</b>	1,875	3,225	2,505	765	2,850
<b>Tier 3</b>	2,475	3,900	3,225	1,500	4,500
<b>Tier 4</b>	1,650	1,500	3,750	300	9,450

Figure 12



**Marijuana: Priority Value 1**

Table 16

<b>Total Marijuana Arrest Scores by MJTF</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<i><b>BAYANET</b></i>	386	611	384	23	292
<i><b>CMET</b></i>	580	473	389	166	260
<i><b>COMET</b></i>	108	56	188	13	4
<i><b>DRANO</b></i>	85	137	166	29	222
<i><b>FANG</b></i>	698	221	519	110	305
<i><b>HUNT</b></i>	45	36	123	6	252
<i><b>JNET</b></i>	39	346	197	51	57
<i><b>LAWNET</b></i>	328	348	258	7	166
<i><b>MAGNET</b></i>	604	533	143	103	134
<i><b>MANTIS</b></i>	N/A	N/A	137	28	131
<i><b>MET</b></i>	117	21	122	102	227
<i><b>MNET</b></i>	N/A	N/A	56	0	63
<i><b>NET</b></i>	1,094	711	1,977	9	928
<i><b>RHINO</b></i>	68	119	248	1	393
<i><b>SANE</b></i>	144	542	169	454	236
<i><b>SSCENT</b></i>	206	112	167	4	101
<i><b>STING</b></i>	73	121	99	5	61
<i><b>SWET</b></i>	861	904	810	125	1,076
<i><b>TCM</b></i>	319	337	274	168	279
<i><b>TNT</b></i>	74	357	624	108	22
<i><b>TNU</b></i>	395	177	152	804	234
<i><b>UPSET</b></i>	752	75	517	25	55
<i><b>WEMET</b></i>	821	311	397	58	341
<i><b>WWN</b></i>	442	641	1355	159	385
<b>TOTALS</b>	8,239	7,189	9,471	2,558	6,224

Figure 13





Table 17

Total Marijuana Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	1,019	649	611	103	334
<b>Tier 2</b>	1,320	1,265	1,135	180	665
<b>Tier 3</b>	2,400	2,275	3,325	425	1,175
<b>Tier 4</b>	3,500	3,000	4,400	1,850	4,050

Figure 14



**Rx Stimulant: Priority Value 1**

Table 18

<b>Total Rx Stimulant Arrest Scores by MJTF</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>BAYANET</b>	2	3	33	5	29
<b>CMET</b>	27	1	7	0	8
<b>COMET</b>	0	15	0	0	0
<b>DRANO</b>	0	0	0	25	25
<b>FANG</b>	5	0	0	0	0
<b>HUNT</b>	0	0	0	15	5
<b>JNET</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>LAWNET</b>	1	11	106	0	10
<b>MAGNET</b>	3	25	29	0	0
<b>MANTIS</b>	N/A	N/A	25	0	3
<b>MET</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>MNET</b>	N/A	N/A	0	10	0
<b>NET</b>	12	0	2	0	36
<b>RHINO</b>	0	5	0	0	0
<b>SANE</b>	11	41	25	5	35
<b>SSCENT</b>	7	9	5	0	55
<b>STING</b>	0	2	7	15	0
<b>SWET</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TCM</b>	0	5	25	131	4
<b>TNT</b>	4	0	22	0	0
<b>TNU</b>	7	0	35	15	4
<b>UPSET</b>	9	52	20	6	37
<b>WEMET</b>	21	53	51	5	19
<b>WWN</b>	1	81	59	1	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	110	303	451	233	270

Figure 15





Table 19

Total Rx Stimulant Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	45	23	36	8	35
<b>Tier 2</b>	40	180	240	75	135
<b>Tier 3</b>	25	100	125	150	100
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	0	50	0	0

Figure 16



**Rx Depressants: Priority Value 1**

Table 20

<b>Total Rx Depressants Arrest Scores by MJTF</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<i><b>BAYANET</b></i>	0	26	7	0	0
<i><b>CMET</b></i>	6	6	10	0	8
<i><b>COMET</b></i>	96	11	10	50	100
<i><b>DRANO</b></i>	30	2	0	5	60
<i><b>FANG</b></i>	2	0	0	1	0
<i><b>HUNT</b></i>	5	0	15	25	10
<i><b>JNET</b></i>	0	0	0	0	1
<i><b>LAWNET</b></i>	35	10	36	0	1
<i><b>MAGNET</b></i>	6	11	1	0	10
<i><b>MANTIS</b></i>	N/A	N/A	10	0	0
<i><b>MET</b></i>	1	0	0	0	100
<i><b>MNET</b></i>	N/A	N/A	5	0	0
<i><b>NET</b></i>	11	12	17	0	3
<i><b>RHINO</b></i>	0	5	0	0	1
<i><b>SANE</b></i>	28	70	1	5	51
<i><b>SSCENT</b></i>	2	5	5	0	10
<i><b>STING</b></i>	4	5	16	6	0
<i><b>SWET</b></i>	5	50	0	0	0
<i><b>TCM</b></i>	26	21	25	0	0
<i><b>TNT</b></i>	8	5	0	0	0
<i><b>TNU</b></i>	0	1	5	10	1
<i><b>UPSET</b></i>	2	23	16	30	22
<i><b>WEMET</b></i>	60	13	5	10	36
<i><b>WWN</b></i>	1	202	76	6	10
<b>TOTALS</b>	328	478	260	148	424

Figure 17





Table 21

Total Rx Depressants Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	28	18	10	3	14
<b>Tier 2</b>	100	160	150	45	85
<b>Tier 3</b>	200	250	100	100	125
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	50	0	0	200

Figure 18



**Synthetic Drugs: Priority Value 1**

Table 22

<b>Total Synthetics Arrest Scores by MJTF</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b><i>BAYANET</i></b>	22	26	1	0	0
<b><i>CMET</i></b>	16	46	7	0	0
<b><i>COMET</i></b>	642	6	0	0	0
<b><i>DRANO</i></b>	1	6	101	10	52
<b><i>FANG</i></b>	12	1	0	1	0
<b><i>HUNT</i></b>	100	0	0	0	10
<b><i>JNET</i></b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b><i>LAWNET</i></b>	95	1	0	25	10
<b><i>MAGNET</i></b>	39	0	15	0	0
<b><i>MANTIS</i></b>	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
<b><i>MET</i></b>	40	0	1	0	0
<b><i>MNET</i></b>	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
<b><i>NET</i></b>	137	111	87	1	79
<b><i>RHINO</i></b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b><i>SANE</i></b>	34	0	0	0	0
<b><i>SSCENT</i></b>	15	16	18	0	0
<b><i>STING</i></b>	2	0	1	0	0
<b><i>SWET</i></b>	0	50	7	0	0
<b><i>TCM</i></b>	3	0	0	0	0
<b><i>TNT</i></b>	82	1	0	0	0
<b><i>TNU</i></b>	6	0	1	0	1
<b><i>UPSET</i></b>	0	1	0	0	0
<b><i>WEMET</i></b>	53	2	0	0	1
<b><i>WWN</i></b>	26	4	1	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	1,325	271	240	37	153

Figure 19





Table 23

Total Synthetics Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	85	36	20	2	8
<b>Tier 2</b>	165	35	70	10	20
<b>Tier 3</b>	825	50	100	25	25
<b>Tier 4</b>	250	150	50	0	100

Figure 20



**Section III**  
**Individual MJTF Performances**

## **Individual MJTF Performances**

The following section highlights the individual performance of each MJTF for FY 2014 through FY 2018. Table 24 displays the MJTFs' arrest scores per 100,000 residents for FY 2017 and FY 2018, as well as the FY 2018 population served by each. This provides readily comparable data across the MJTFs, despite variances in populations served. This section also provides details about the arrests made by each MJTF; these details are current as of FY 2018. Included for each MJTF is the following: the MJTF's name, average number of sworn personnel, total arrest scores by tier, total number of arrests per 100,000 residents, total arrest scores per 100,000 residents, and total arrest scores by drug type. The data is represented in tabular and graphic format.

Table 24: Arrest Scores per 100,000 Residents

<b>MJTF</b>	<b>Arrest Score per 100,000 Residents (FY 2017)</b>	<b>Arrest Score per 100,000 Residents (FY 2018)</b>	<b>Population</b>
<i>MNET</i>	3,147.74	<b>7,174.39</b>	24,462
<i>SANE</i>	5,128.30	<b>2,953.86</b>	83,010
<i>HUNT</i>	2,286.40	<b>1,975.47</b>	63,681
<i>CMET</i>	1,234.17	<b>1,417.81</b>	218,365
<i>MAGNET</i>	708.18	<b>1,243.64</b>	110,000
<i>TCM</i>	701.45	<b>1,116.08</b>	464,036
<i>UPSET</i>	1,299.04	<b>1,016.72</b>	311,000
<i>WEMET</i>	700.11	<b>930.87</b>	562,483
<i>MANTIS</i>	973.34	<b>869.67</b>	152,021
<i>SSCENT</i>	1,750.54	<b>841.03</b>	90,772
<i>SWET</i>	360.47	<b>812.27</b>	840,854
<i>TNT</i>	1,352.78	<b>793.58</b>	243,203
<i>STING</i>	1,419.75	<b>735.09</b>	107,061
<i>FANG</i>	855.57	<b>706.04</b>	408,615
<i>DRANO</i>	1,100.87	<b>700.8</b>	356,448
<i>MET</i>	274.25	<b>691.29</b>	636,639
<i>RHINO</i>	314.5	<b>639.24</b>	146,580
<i>BAYANET</i>	799.23	<b>609.26</b>	518,500
<i>JNET</i>	450.59	<b>407.67</b>	158,460
<i>TNU</i>	1,121.23	<b>371.47</b>	219,669
<i>LAWNET</i>	243.18	<b>352.59</b>	545,676
<i>NET</i>	274.69	<b>228.06</b>	1,243,970
<i>WWN</i>	161.02	<b>60.62</b>	1,765,000
<i>COMET</i>	101.85	<b>44.88</b>	860,112

Table 24 demonstrates arrest scores per 100,000 residents in FY 2017 and FY 2018, as well as the total population each MJTF covers as of FY 2018. FY 2017 is included to serve as the most recent comparison year. MJTFs are arranged in the table by order of the highest arrest scores per 100,000 residents according to FY 2018 data. This number was calculated by dividing the MJTF's total arrest score by the population served, then multiplying the calculated quotient by 100,000. In FY 2018, MNET had the highest arrest score per 100,000 residents with a score of 7,174.39.

**BAYANET**

Population = 518,500

Sworn Personnel = 19

Figure 21




Table 25

<b>BAYANET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	697	239	221	194	184
<b>Tier 2</b>	775	2,410	1,625	2,000	1,525
<b>Tier 3</b>	550	850	1,075	1,650	800
<b>Tier 4</b>	350	650	750	300	650
<b>Totals</b>	2,372	4,149	3,671	4,144	3,159

Table 26

<b>BAYANET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	310	274	212	229	177
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	73.86	65.28	50.51	44.17	34.14
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	2,372	4,149	3,671	4,144	3,159
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	565.13	988.51	874.62	799.23	609.26

Figure 22




Table 27

<b>BAYANET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	390	936	1,056	1,284	624
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	288	216	432	474	42
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	219	1,008	357	825	1,101
<b>Cocaine</b>	1,065	1,323	1,401	633	1,071
<b>Marijuana</b>	386	611	384	906	292
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	2	3	33	20	29
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	0	26	7	0	0
<b>Synthetic</b>	22	26	1	2	0
<b>Totals</b>	2,372	4,149	3,671	4,144	3,159

**CMET**

Population: 218,365

Sworn Personnel: 8

Figure 23




Table 28

<b>CMET Total Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	296	213	286	295	191
<b>Tier 2</b>	335	635	470	550	480
<b>Tier 3</b>	150	275	1,200	1,350	875
<b>Tier 4</b>	250	150	500	500	1,550
<b>Totals</b>	1,031	1,273	2,456	2,695	3,096

Table 29

<b>CMET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	194	150	179	161	142
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	80.30	62.09	74.09	73.73	65.03
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	1,031	1,273	2,456	2,695	3,096
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	426.75	526.92	1,016.59	1,234.17	1,417.81

Figure 24




Table 30

<b>CMET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	30	174	300	126	84
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	18	126	972	90	294
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	336	381	609	1,794	1,008
<b>Cocaine</b>	18	66	162	354	1,434
<b>Marijuana</b>	580	473	389	330	260
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	27	1	7	1	8
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	6	6	10	0	8
<b>Synthetic</b>	16	46	7	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	1,031	1,273	2,456	2,695	3,096

**COMET**

Population: 860,112

Sworn Personnel: 7

Figure 25




Table 31

<b>COMET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	497	328	81	76	31
<b>Tier 2</b>	470	470	230	250	180
<b>Tier 3</b>	1,425	775	250	450	75
<b>Tier 4</b>	50	0	150	100	100
<b>Totals</b>	2,442	1,573	711	876	386

Table 32

<b>COMET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	197	112	37	43	23
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	23.25	13.22	4.37	5.00	2.67
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	2,442	1,573	711	876	386
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	288.18	185.63	83.91	101.85	44.88

Figure 26




Table 33

<b>COMET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	540	780	150	144	30
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	876	510	216	90	48
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Cocaine</b>	180	195	147	438	204
<b>Marijuana</b>	108	56	188	149	4
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	15	0	0	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	96	11	10	55	100
<b>Synthetic</b>	642	6	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	2,442	1,573	711	876	386

**DRANO**

Population: 356,448

Sworn Personnel: 6

Figure 27




Table 34

<b>DRANO Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	108	30	34	64	63
<b>Tier 2</b>	510	515	355	1,260	585
<b>Tier 3</b>	650	200	625	1,950	1,150
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	0	750	650	700
<b>Totals</b>	1,268	745	1,764	3,924	2,498

Table 35

<b>DRANO Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	75	58	67	125	79
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	10.68	8.26	9.54	35.07	22.16
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	1,268	745	1,764	3,924	2,498
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	180.56	106.09	251.19	1,100.87	700.80

Figure 28




Table 36

<b>DRANO Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	228	342	450	1,284	594
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	354	60	360	1,122	552
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	108	0	3	15	0
<b>Cocaine</b>	462	198	684	957	993
<b>Marijuana</b>	85	137	166	458	222
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	0	0	60	25
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	30	2	0	16	60
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	1	6	101	12	52
<b>Totals</b>	1,268	745	1,764	3,924	2,498

## FANG

Population: 408,615

Sworn Personnel: 11

Figure 29




Table 37

<b>FANG Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	481	409	262	266	175
<b>Tier 2</b>	1,295	1,065	555	1,105	485
<b>Tier 3</b>	1,050	1,025	1,575	1,075	725
<b>Tier 4</b>	750	1,050	500	1,050	1,500
<b>Totals</b>	<b>3,576</b>	<b>3,549</b>	<b>2,892</b>	<b>3,496</b>	<b>2,885</b>

Table 38

<b>FANG Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	225	191	119	160	98
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	53.78	45.65	28.44	39.16	23.98
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	3,576	3,549	2,892	3,496	2,885
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	854.67	848.22	691.19	855.57	706.04

Figure 30




Table 39

<b>FANG Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	1,206	1,242	1,656	858	492
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	468	600	156	402	30
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	93	60	138	228	537
<b>Cocaine</b>	1,092	1,425	423	1,269	1,521
<b>Marijuana</b>	698	221	519	737	305
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	5	0	0	0	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	2	0	0	1	0
<b>Synthetic</b>	12	1	0	1	0
<b>Totals</b>	3,576	3,549	2,892	3,496	2,885

# HUNT

Population: 63,681

Sworn Personnel: 6

Figure 31




Table 40

<b>HUNT Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	120	44	87	71	83
<b>Tier 2</b>	590	1,045	1,695	1,110	925
<b>Tier 3</b>	0	300	225	275	100
<b>Tier 4</b>	100	0	0	0	150
<b>Totals</b>	<b>810</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>2,007</b>	<b>1,456</b>	<b>1,258</b>

Table 41

<b>HUNT Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	61	63	107	88	66
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	97.64	100.84	171.27	138.19	103.64
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	810	1,389	2,007	1,456	1,258
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	1,296.54	2,223.32	3,212.54	2,286.40	1,975.47

Figure 32




Table 42

<b>HUNT Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	348	774	1,188	360	348
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	294	450	552	396	438
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	18	129	123	288	174
<b>Cocaine</b>	0	0	6	303	21
<b>Marijuana</b>	45	36	123	64	252
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	0	0	15	5
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	5	0	15	30	10
<b>Synthetic</b>	100	0	0	0	10
<b>Totals</b>	810	1,389	2,007	1,456	1,258

**JNET**

Population: 158,460

Sworn Personnel: 6

Figure 33




Table 43

<b>JNET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	264	120	62	39	81
<b>Tier 2</b>	0	585	410	300	215
<b>Tier 3</b>	0	300	450	175	300
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	250	250	200	50
<b>Totals</b>	264	1,255	1,172	714	646

Table 44

<b>JNET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	98	78	51	34	39
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	61.13	48.66	31.81	21.46	24.61
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	264	1,255	1,172	714	646
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	164.68	782.86	731.09	450.59	407.67

Figure 34




Table 45

<b>JNET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	96	300	360	282	102
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	0	0	96	6	6
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	69	228	276	96	213
<b>Cocaine</b>	60	381	243	249	267
<b>Marijuana</b>	39	346	197	81	57
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Synthetic</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	264	1,255	1,172	714	646

**LAWNET**

Population: 545,676

Sworn Personnel: 14

Figure 35




Table 46

<b>LAWNET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	310	245	226	142	34
<b>Tier 2</b>	535	715	865	735	415
<b>Tier 3</b>	1,175	550	275	350	1,425
<b>Tier 4</b>	50	300	150	100	50
<b>Totals</b>	<b>2,070</b>	<b>1,810</b>	<b>1,516</b>	<b>1,327</b>	<b>1,924</b>

Table 47

<b>LAWNET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	160	143	132	86	55
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	29.97	26.79	24.73	15.76	10.08
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	2,070	1,810	1,516	1,327	1,924
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	387.80	339.09	284.01	243.18	352.59

Figure 36




Table 48

<b>LAWNET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	408	822	642	558	1,086
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	1,074	84	276	36	126
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	54	33	45	30	105
<b>Cocaine</b>	75	501	153	420	420
<b>Marijuana</b>	328	348	258	201	166
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	1	11	106	25	10
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	35	10	36	30	1
<b>Synthetic</b>	95	1	0	27	10
<b>Totals</b>	2,070	1,810	1,516	1,327	1,924

**MAGNET**

Population: 110,000

Sworn Personnel: 5

Figure 37




Table 49

<b>MAGNET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	508	127	102	109	113
<b>Tier 2</b>	590	1,180	1,465	545	755
<b>Tier 3</b>	925	375	100	25	450
<b>Tier 4</b>	150	0	0	100	50
<b>Totals</b>	<b>2,173</b>	<b>1,682</b>	<b>1,667</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>1,368</b>

Table 50

<b>MAGNET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	259	166	164	78	104
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	232.71	149.15	147.36	70.91	94.55
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	2,173	1,682	1,667	779	1,368
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	1,952.47	1,511.30	1,497.82	708.18	1,243.64

Figure 38




Table 51

<b>MAGNET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	120	456	126	90	108
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	462	396	312	324	414
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	777	201	918	132	663
<b>Cocaine</b>	162	60	123	33	39
<b>Marijuana</b>	604	533	143	183	134
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	3	25	29	0	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	6	11	1	16	10
<b>Synthetic</b>	39	0	15	1	0
<b>Totals</b>	2,173	1,682	1,667	779	1,368

# MANTIS

Population: 152,021

Sworn Personnel: 6

Figure 39




Table 52

<b>MANTIS Arrest Scores by Tier</b>			
	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	117	83	82
<b>Tier 2</b>	870	645	390
<b>Tier 3</b>	775	675	800
<b>Tier 4</b>	600	50	50
<b>Totals</b>	2,362	1,453	1,322

Table 53

<b>MANTIS Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>			
	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	89	61	59
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	59.62	40.86	38.81
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	2,362	1,453	1,322
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	1,582.26	973.34	869.67

Figure 40




Table 54

<b>MANTIS Arrest Scores by Drug</b>			
	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	1,128	498	144
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	276	420	660
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	90	0	39
<b>Cocaine</b>	696	399	345
<b>Marijuana</b>	137	132	131
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	25	4	3
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	10	0	0
<b>Synthetic</b>	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	2,362	1,453	1,322

**MET**

Population: 636,639

Sworn Personnel: 8

Figure 41




Table 55

<b>MET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	14	17	27	26	11
<b>Tier 2</b>	180	70	245	320	165
<b>Tier 3</b>	400	225	275	850	325
<b>Tier 4</b>	1,100	300	350	550	3,900
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,694</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>897</b>	<b>1,746</b>	<b>4,401</b>

Table 56

<b>MET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	40	24	30	40	47
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	6.51	3.91	4.88	6.28	7.38
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	1,694	612	897	1,746	4,401
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	275.69	99.60	145.98	274.25	691.29

Figure 42




Table 57

<b>MET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	636	0	186	726	240
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	30	0	30	0	0
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	15	18	15	174	615
<b>Cocaine</b>	855	573	543	714	3,219
<b>Marijuana</b>	117	21	122	132	227
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	1	0	0	0	100
<b>Synthetic</b>	40	0	1	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	1,694	612	897	1,746	4,401

**MNET**

Population: 24,462

Sworn Personnel: 5

Figure 43




Table 58

<b>MNET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>			
	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	7	20	30
<b>Tier 2</b>	275	750	375
<b>Tier 3</b>	175	0	600
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	0	750
<b>Totals</b>	457	770	1,755

Table 59

<b>MNET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>			
	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	22	39	48
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	89.94	159.43	196.22
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	457	770	1,755
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	1,868.20	3,147.74	7,174.39

Figure 44




Table 60

<b>MNET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>			
	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	186	462	852
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	0	120	36
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	0	0	0
<b>Cocaine</b>	210	171	804
<b>Marijuana</b>	56	0	63
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	10	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	5	0	0
<b>Synthetic</b>	0	7	0
<b>Totals</b>	457	770	1,755

**NET**

Population: 1,243,970

Sworn Personnel: 28

Figure 45




Table 61

NET Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	604	719	996	647	507
<b>Tier 2</b>	1,015	1,115	340	395	155
<b>Tier 3</b>	2,650	2,075	3,175	1,275	825
<b>Tier 4</b>	1,500	1,950	3,650	1,100	1,350
<b>Totals</b>	5,769	5,859	8,161	3,417	2,837

Table 62

NET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	335	326	447	243	197
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	27.44	26.71	36.62	19.53	15.84
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	5,769	5,859	8,161	3,417	2,837
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	472.61	479.99	688.57	274.69	228.06

Figure 46




Table 63

NET Arrest Scores by Drug					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Heroin</b>	2,052	2,622	3,150	750	702
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	1,530	1,488	1,290	1,014	96
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	72	279	75	36	378
<b>Cocaine</b>	861	636	1,563	570	615
<b>Marijuana</b>	1,094	711	1,977	978	928
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	12	0	2	3	36
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	11	12	17	52	3
<b>Synthetic</b>	137	111	87	14	79
<b>Totals</b>	5,769	5,859	8,161	3,417	2,837

## RHINO

Population: 146,580

Sworn Personnel: 6

Figure 47




Table 64

<b>RHINO Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	294	121	62	51	102
<b>Tier 2</b>	10	545	285	110	235
<b>Tier 3</b>	250	1125	625	250	250
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	0	50	50	350
<b>Totals</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>1,791</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>937</b>

Table 65

<b>RHINO Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	98	85	46	32	56
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	67.49	58.53	31.68	21.83	38.20
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	554	1,791	1,022	461	937
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	381.50	1,223.34	703.78	314.50	639.24

Figure 48




Table 66

<b>RHINO Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	138	282	564	84	78
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	30	882	6	0	90
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	228	45	90	81	12
<b>Cocaine</b>	90	453	114	168	363
<b>Marijuana</b>	68	119	248	128	393
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	5	0	0	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	0	5	0	0	1
<b>Synthetic</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	554	1,791	1,022	461	937

**SANE**

Population: 83,010

Sworn Personnel: 5

Figure 49




Table 67

<b>SANE Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	729	97	73	92	42
<b>Tier 2</b>	1,180	1,790	1,605	2,040	1,285
<b>Tier 3</b>	75	1,500	1,250	1,375	425
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	200	0	750	700
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,984</b>	<b>3,587</b>	<b>2,928</b>	<b>4,257</b>	<b>2,452</b>

Table 68

<b>SANE Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	252	156	120	133	105
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	152.39	94.33	72.56	160.22	126.49
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	1,984	3,587	2,928	4,257	2,452
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	1,199.74	2,169.09	1,770.59	5,128.30	2,953.86

Figure 50




Table 69

<b>SANE Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	150	402	570	498	0
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	1,302	2,424	2,082	3,162	870
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	270	105	30	21	444
<b>Cocaine</b>	45	3	51	9	816
<b>Marijuana</b>	144	542	169	517	236
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	11	41	25	25	35
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	28	70	1	25	51
<b>Synthetic</b>	34	0	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	1,984	3,587	2,928	4,257	2,452

**SSCENT**

Population: 90,772

Sworn Personnel: 7

Figure 51




Table 70

<b>SSCENT Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	286	191	58	139	133
<b>Tier 2</b>	275	270	960	825	255
<b>Tier 3</b>	125	200	450	450	225
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	0	50	150	150
<b>Totals</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>661</b>	<b>1,518</b>	<b>1,564</b>	<b>763</b>

Table 71

SSCENT Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	171	120	78	110	88
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	187.58	131.64	85.56	121.18	97.00
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	686	661	1,518	1,589	763
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	752.52	725.10	1,665.20	1,750.54	841.03

Figure 52




Table 72

SSCENT Arrest Scores by Drug					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Heroin</b>	6	48	192	210	30
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	204	234	678	546	66
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	207	222	219	156	303
<b>Cocaine</b>	39	15	234	438	198
<b>Marijuana</b>	206	112	167	182	101
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	7	9	5	7	55
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	2	5	5	50	10
<b>Synthetic</b>	15	16	18	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	686	661	1,518	1,589	763

# STING

Population: 107,061

Sworn Personnel: 6

Figure 53




Table 73

STING Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	558	175	238	155	162
<b>Tier 2</b>	85	810	840	915	425
<b>Tier 3</b>	0	250	50	150	150
<b>Tier 4</b>	0	0	0	300	50
<b>Totals</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>1,235</b>	<b>1,128</b>	<b>1,520</b>	<b>787</b>

Table 74

<b>STING Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	164	83	123	80	67
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	150.49	76.16	112.87	74.72	62.58
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	643	1,235	1,128	1,520	787
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	590.03	1,133.26	1,035.07	1,419.75	735.09

Figure 54




Table 75

<b>STING Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	240	84	84	60	198
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	210	888	654	1350	348
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	87	117	237	24	129
<b>Cocaine</b>	27	18	30	48	51
<b>Marijuana</b>	73	121	99	9	61
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	2	7	18	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	4	5	16	11	0
<b>Synthetic</b>	2	0	1	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	643	1,235	1,128	1,520	787

**SWET**

Population: 840,854

Sworn Personnel: 21

Figure 55




Table 76

SWET Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	236	90	97	201	350
<b>Tier 2</b>	440	700	910	930	730
<b>Tier 3</b>	1,250	1,075	1,475	1,500	1,750
<b>Tier 4</b>	500	1,200	450	400	4,000
<b>Totals</b>	2,426	3,065	2,932	3,031	6,830

Table 77

<b>SWET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	170	134	135	155	204
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	20.31	16.01	16.13	18.43	24.26
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	2,426	3,065	2,932	3,031	6,830
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	289.81	366.15	350.26	360.47	812.27

Figure 56




Table 78

<b>SWET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	42	720	720	588	576
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	882	786	240	180	60
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	252	222	522	1,008	4,530
<b>Cocaine</b>	384	333	633	759	588
<b>Marijuana</b>	861	904	810	496	1,076
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	5	50	0	0	0
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	0	50	7	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	2,426	3,065	2,932	3,031	6,830

**TCM**

Population: 464,036

Sworn Personnel: 10

Figure 57




Table 79

TCM Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	261	121	207	125	69
<b>Tier 2</b>	280	755	710	480	360
<b>Tier 3</b>	600	1,325	700	2,350	1,000
<b>Tier 4</b>	50	100	1,200	300	3,750
<b>Totals</b>	1,191	2,301	2,817	3,255	5,179

Table 80

TCM Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	117	116	129	125	82
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	25.12	24.91	27.70	26.94	17.67
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	1,191	2,301	2,817	3,255	5,179
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	255.73	494.06	607.06	701.45	1,116.08

Figure 58




Table 81

TCM Arrest Scores by Drug					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Heroin</b>	306	1,692	726	1,626	1,680
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	0	30	42	6	1,350
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	393	54	465	660	222
<b>Cocaine</b>	144	162	1,260	225	1,644
<b>Marijuana</b>	319	337	274	471	279
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	0	5	25	267	4
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	26	21	25	0	0
<b>Synthetic</b>	3	0	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	1,191	2,301	2,817	3,255	5,179

**TNT**

Population: 243,203

Sworn Personnel: 14

Figure 59




Table 82

TNT Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	601	92	147	210	180
<b>Tier 2</b>	480	1,440	1,335	2,005	1,075
<b>Tier 3</b>	75	2,200	850	775	525
<b>Tier 4</b>	50	300	300	300	150
<b>Totals</b>	1,206	4,032	2,632	3,290	1,930

Table 83

<b>TNT Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	225	124	139	179	111
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	104.01	57.32	64.25	73.60	45.64
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	1,206	4,032	2,632	3,290	1,930
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	557.49	1,863.84	1,216.67	1,352.78	793.58

Figure 60




Table 84

<b>TNT Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	258	1,782	1,626	1,410	942
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	222	330	0	336	6
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	414	378	177	789	468
<b>Cocaine</b>	144	1,179	183	282	492
<b>Marijuana</b>	74	357	624	405	22
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	4	0	22	0	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	8	5	0	8	0
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	82	1	0	60	0
<b>Totals</b>	1,206	4,032	2,632	3,290	1,930

**TNU**

Population: 219,669

Sworn Personnel: 5

Figure 61




Table 85

<b>TNU Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	114	137	152	98	171
<b>Tier 2</b>	170	355	480	940	270
<b>Tier 3</b>	225	50	500	375	175
<b>Tier 4</b>	250	650	0	1,050	200
<b>Totals</b>	759	1,192	1,132	2,463	816

Table 86

<b>TNU Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	75	87	84	117	75
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	34.47	39.99	38.61	53.26	34.14
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	759	1,192	1,132	2,463	816
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	348.86	547.88	520.30	1,121.23	371.47

Figure 62




Table 87

<b>TNU Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	168	30	120	78	192
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	126	870	516	486	60
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	9	78	264	672	114
<b>Cocaine</b>	48	36	39	264	210
<b>Marijuana</b>	395	177	152	908	234
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	7	0	35	15	4
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	0	1	5	40	1
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	6	0	1	0	1
<b>Totals</b>	759	1,192	1,132	2,463	816

## UPSET

Population: 311,000

Sworn Personnel: 20

Figure 63




Table 88

<b>UPSET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	345	191	224	230	267
<b>Tier 2</b>	380	3175	2,350	2,610	1,920
<b>Tier 3</b>	25	250	75	850	975
<b>Tier 4</b>	700	0	400	350	0
<b>Totals</b>	1,450	3,616	3,049	4,040	3,162

Table 89

UPSET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	129	202	189	203	190
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	50.75	79.46	74.35	65.27	61.09
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	1,450	3,616	3,049	4,040	3,162
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	570.39	1,422.44	1,199.40	1,299.04	1,016.72

Figure 64




Table 90

UPSET Arrest Scores by Drug					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Heroin</b>	102	678	576	600	576
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	288	2,130	1,200	2,208	1,374
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	201	303	555	492	948
<b>Cocaine</b>	96	354	165	189	150
<b>Marijuana</b>	752	75	517	485	55
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	9	52	20	31	37
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	2	23	16	35	22
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	0	1	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	1,450	3,616	3,049	4,040	3,162

**WEMET**

Population: 562,483

Sworn Personnel: 27

Figure 65




Table 91

<b>WEMET Arrest Scores by Tier</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Tier 1</b>	401	172	309	488	391
<b>Tier 2</b>	1,995	1,260	2,360	1,700	1,395
<b>Tier 3</b>	750	850	1,450	1,100	2,100
<b>Tier 4</b>	800	350	0	650	1,350
<b>Totals</b>	3,946	2,632	4,119	3,938	5,236

Table 92

<b>WEMET Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	351	171	275	285	271
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	68.65	33.44	53.70	50.67	48.18
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	3,946	2,632	4,119	3,938	5,236
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	771.73	514.75	805.56	700.11	930.87

Figure 66




Table 93

<b>WEMET Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	336	600	1,428	1,230	2,058
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	840	252	276	300	240
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	1,134	522	1,011	747	828
<b>Cocaine</b>	681	879	951	1,278	1,713
<b>Marijuana</b>	821	311	397	361	341
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	21	53	51	12	19
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	60	13	5	10	36
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	53	2	0	0	1
<b>Totals</b>	3,946	2,632	4,119	3,938	5,236

**WWN**

Population: 1,765,000

Sworn Personnel: 11

Figure 67




Table 94

WWN Arrest Scores by Tier					
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Tier 1</b>	146	154	184	132	50
<b>Tier 2</b>	580	385	265	485	270
<b>Tier 3</b>	1,900	2,200	1,575	1,075	550
<b>Tier 4</b>	850	1,450	1,300	1,150	200
<b>Totals</b>	<b>3,476</b>	<b>4,189</b>	<b>3,324</b>	<b>2,842</b>	<b>1,070</b>

Table 95

<b>WWN Number of Arrests and Scores per 100,000 Residents</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Number of Arrests</b>	121	141	136	88	47
<b>Number of Arrests per 100,000</b>	12.03	14.02	13.52	4.99	2.66
<b>Total Arrest Scores</b>	3,476	4,189	3,324	2,842	1,070
<b>Arrest Score per 100,000</b>	345.58	416.47	330.47	161.02	60.62

Figure 68




Table 96

<b>WWN Arrest Scores by Drug</b>					
	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Heroin</b>	840	876	378	558	114
<b>Rx Opiates</b>	1,434	1,872	1,356	1,170	60
<b>Methamphetamine</b>	0	30	3	0	78
<b>Cocaine</b>	732	483	96	720	423
<b>Marijuana</b>	442	641	1,355	381	385
<b>Rx Stimulants</b>	1	81	59	2	0
<b>Rx Depressants</b>	1	202	76	11	10
<b>Synthetic Drugs</b>	26	4	1	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	3,476	4,189	3,324	2,842	1,070

## Appendix A

### Tier 1 Drug Traffickers:

A Tier 1 Drug Trafficker is any person who does not qualify as a Tier 2, 3, or 4 Drug Trafficker, but who:

- a. Is involved in the illegal distribution or possession of controlled substances as classified in Schedule I through V of the Michigan Public Health Code (except marijuana) or a controlled substance analog or anabolic steroids, in quantities of **less than 20 grams** (in powder or rock form) OR in quantities of **less than 10 dosage** units (tablets, capsule, blotter, or liquid form) in any single offense.
- b. Is involved in the distribution, possession or manufacture of marijuana, psilocybin mushrooms, or peyote in quantities of **less than 10 pounds** in any one single offense.
- c. Operates a laboratory that is used to illegally manufacture controlled substances or controlled substance analogs OR illegally possesses essential and/or precursor chemicals as defined by federal statutes in quantities of **less than 25 grams** and does not involve children exposed to hazardous materials.
- d. Is involved in the illegal use of controlled substances or the prohibited use of chemical agents and is not involved in the distribution or possession of controlled substances as described in Tiers 2, 3, or 4 of these definitions.

All original warrant charges of use and/or possession will be reported as Tier 1.

### Tier 2 Drug Traffickers:

A Tier 2 Drug Trafficker is any person who does not qualify as a Tier 3 or 4 Drug Trafficker, but who:

- a. Is involved in the illegal distribution or possession of controlled substances as classified in Schedule I through V of the Michigan Public Health Code (except marijuana) or a controlled substance analog or anabolic steroids, in quantities of **at least 20 grams but less than 50 grams** (in powder or rock form) OR in quantities of **at least 10 dosage units or not more than 100 dosage units** (tablets, capsule, blotter, or liquid form) in any single offense.
- b. Is involved in the distribution, possession or manufacture of marijuana, psilocybin mushrooms, or peyote in quantities of **at least 10 pounds but less than 50 pounds** in any one single offense.
- c. Operates a laboratory that is used to illegally manufacture controlled substances or controlled substance analogs OR illegally possesses essential and/or precursor chemicals as defined by federal statutes in quantities of **at least 25 grams but less than 50 grams.**
- d. Exposes children to toxic chemicals used in the manufacturing of controlled substances.
- e. Is a licensed health care practitioner involved in the diversion of prescription drugs.
- f. Is involved in an arrest resulting in a cash seizure of \$5,000 or more.
- g. Is involved in a drug arrest which includes a state or federal weapons change.

- h. Is charged as a second or habitual offender.
- i. Is involved in a drug arrest which results in the recovery of stolen property.
- j. Conspires with others to violate the provisions of the Michigan Public Health Code as described in any one of the sections listed above under Tier 2 Traffickers.

### **Tier 3 Drug Traffickers:**

A Tier 3 Trafficker is any person who does not qualify as a Tier 4 Drug Trafficker, but who:

- a. Is involved in the illegal distribution or possession of controlled substances as classified in Schedule I through Schedule V of the Michigan Public Health Code (except marijuana) or a controlled substance analog or anabolic steroids, in quantities of **at least 50 grams, but less than 400 grams** (in powder or rock form) OR in quantities of **at least 100 dosage units, but less than 1000 dosage units** (tablets, capsule, blotter, or liquid form) in any single offense.
- b. Is involved in the distribution, possession or manufacture of marijuana, psilocybin mushrooms, or peyote in quantities of **at least 50 pounds but less than 100 pounds** in any one single offense.
- c. Is a licensed health care practitioner involved in the diversion of prescription drugs in quantities of **at least 500 dosage units or more** within a twelve-month period.
- d. Operates a laboratory that is used to illegally manufacture controlled substances or controlled substance analogs OR illegally possesses essential and/or precursor chemicals as defined by federal statutes in quantities of **at least 50 grams but less than 400 grams**.
- e. Was responsible for a drug sale that resulted in an overdose or death (regardless of quantity).
- f. Conspires with others to violate the provisions of the Michigan Public Health Code as described in any one of the sections listed above under Tier 3 Traffickers.

### **Tier 4 Drug Traffickers:**

A Tier 4 Drug Trafficker is any person who:

- a. Is involved in the illegal distribution or possession of controlled substances as classified in Schedule I through V of the Michigan Public Health Code (except marijuana) **or** a controlled substance analog or anabolic steroids, in quantities of **400 grams or more** (in powder or rock form) OR in quantities of **1000 or more dosage units** (tablets, capsule, blotter, or liquid form) in any single offense.
- b. Is involved in the distribution, possession or manufacture of marijuana, psilocybin mushrooms, or peyote in quantities of **100 pounds or more** in any one single offense.
- c. Is a licensed health care practitioner involved in the diversion of prescription drugs in quantities of **1000 dosage units or more**.
- d. Operates a laboratory that is used to illegally manufacture controlled substances or controlled substance analogs OR illegally possesses essential and/or precursor chemicals as defined by federal statutes in quantities of **400 grams or more**.
- e. Conspires with others to violate the provisions of the Michigan Public Health Code as described in any one of the sections listed above under Tier 4 Traffickers.

## Appendix B

Table B1: Prior to FY 2014 Measurements

	<b>Level I</b>	<b>Level II</b>	<b>Level III</b>
<b>Sch. I-V Grams</b>	>50 grams	50-650 grams	650 grams
<b>Sch. I-V Dosage Units</b>	>100 du	1000-10,000 du	10,000 du
<b>Marijuana Pounds</b>	>10 lbs.	10-100 lbs.	100+ lbs.
<b>Licensed Health Care Practitioners</b>	1000 du	1000-10,000 du	10,000 du
<b>Lab Manufacturing Grams</b>	>50 grams	50-650 grams	650 grams

Table B2: FY 2014 to Present Tier Threshold Measurements

	<b>FY 2014 to Present Tier Threshold Measurements</b>			
	<b>Tier 1</b>	<b>Tier 2</b>	<b>Tier 3</b>	<b>Tier 4</b>
<b>Grams</b>	<20 grams	20-50 grams	50-400 grams	>400 grams
<b>Dosage Units</b>	<10 du	10-100 du	100-1000 du	>1000 du
<b>Marijuana Pounds</b>	<10 lbs.	10-50 lbs.	50-100 lbs.	>100 lbs.
<b>Licensed Health Care Practitioner (involved in the diversion of prescription drugs)</b>	none	any quantity	> 500 du	>1000 du
<b>Lab Manufacturing Grams</b>	<25 grams	25-50 grams	50-400 grams	>400 grams